

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Qm-

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/779,831	02/09/2001	Neil Balthaser	23977.0028	6859
23767	7590 03/25/2005		EXAMINER	
PRESTON GATES ELLIS & ROUVELAS MEEDS LLP 1735 NEW YORK AVENUE, NW, SUITE 500			SHAH, SANJIV	
	ON, DC 20006	JITE 300	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2176	
			DATE MAILED: 03/25/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/779,831	BALTHAȘER, NEIL				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Sanjiv D. Shah	2176				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet t	vith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become a	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07</u> .	January 2005.	•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
, —						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-62 and 79-125 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-62 and 79-125 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Ority documents have bee Bau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/779,831 Page 2

Art Unit: 2176

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

- 1. Claims 1-38, 41-60 of this application conflict with claims 1-58 of Application No. 09/716,460. 37 CFR 1.78(b) provides that when two or more applications filed by the same applicant contain conflicting claims, elimination of such claims from all but one application may be required in the absence of good and sufficient reason for their retention during pendency in more than one application. Applicant is required to either cancel the conflicting claims from all but one application or maintain a clear line of demarcation between the applications. See MPEP § 822.
- 2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

3. Claims 1-38, 41-60 and 79-125 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-58 and claims 75-121 of copending Application No. 09/716,460. This is a <u>provisional</u> double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,831 Page 3

Art Unit: 2176

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

5. Claims 11, 12, 19-40, 42-62 and 82-125 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claims 11 and 12, claims are dependent on claim 10. claim 10 indicates comprising one or more component. Therefore production type is not positively recited. Therefore production type in claims 11 and 12 is indefinite. Similarly claims 19-40, 42-62 and 82-125 are indefinite.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 1-19, 41, 79-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bonomi et al. (Patent # 6,769,127).

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claim 1, Bonomi et al. teaches the claimed invention of designing and creating rich-media application over Internet (See fig 1B)comprising;

Accessing host website (Col. 2, line 40-42), examining available products (See col. 5, lines 32-36) Bonomi et al. teaches the claimed limitation of constructing rich media application on host website as described in col. 3, lines 53-55.

Regarding claim 2, 4, 5, 6, Bonomi et al. teaches the claimed invention of purchasing the ability to construct rich-media on host website is described in col. 3, lines 7-10. See col. 9, lines 35-40.

Regarding claim 3, Bonomi et al. teaches the claimed invention of modifying the rich media application based on user feedback as described in col. 7, lines 1-2, wherein Bonomi et al. teaches format conversion that is equivalent to claimed modification.

Regarding claims 7, 8, Bonomi et al. teaches the claimed invention of selecting a level of service as described in col. 5, lines 50-55, wherein Bonomi teaches providing different level of service in an e-commerce i.e. video on demand application.

Regarding claims 9-16, Bonomi teaches the claimed invention of selecting a movie file component and uploading component as shown in fig 8E and 11B.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,831 Page 5

Art Unit: 2176

Regarding claims 17, 18, 19, 41, 79, 80, 81, Bonomi et al. teaches the claimed invention of creating user accounts (See fig 12D), accessing user account (see fig 12C), viewing available option for creating rich-media application (fig 11B)

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-62, 79-125 in the reply filed on 1/17/05 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there is no additional burden. This is not found persuasive because as clearly pointed in the restriction the invention is distinct. It would require separate search and are classified in different subclass. Therefore the restriction is proper and applicant's arguments are not persuasive.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sanjiv D. Shah whose telephone number is (571)272-4098. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H Feild can be reached on (571)272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/779,831

Art Unit: 2176

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sanjiv D. Shah Primary Examiner Art Unit 2176

S. Shah March 18, 2005